Department of Natural Resource Sciences Washington State University

A Report to the Colville Confederated Tribes

PREY SELECTIVITY AND POPULATION DYNAMICS OF A LENTIC FISH COMMUNITY, TWIN LAKES, WASHINGTON

November 2005



PREY SELECTIVITY AND POPULATION DYNAMICS OF A LENTIC FISH COMMUNITY, TWIN LAKES, WASHINGTON

November, 2005

David R. Christensen and Dr. Barry C. Moore Department of Natural Resources Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164-6410

> A Report to: Department of Fish and Wildlife Colville Confederated Tribes Nespelem, WA 99155

Prey Selectivity and Population Dynamics of a Lentic Fish Community, Twin Lakes, Washington

Abstract

The establishment of non-native species, largemouth bass (<u>Micropterus salmoides</u> <u>L.</u>) and golden shiners (<u>Notemigonus crysoleucus M.</u>) in Twin Lakes, Washington is of concern for potential negative impacts on resident trout populations. Bass were first documented in Twin Lakes in the 1980's and shiners were confirmed there during the early 1990's. Since then, golden shiners have become a particular problem, as they compete with trout for food resources and, unlike bass, are not a game fish.

Other problems related to eutrophication, such as dense weed beds are also believed to impact Twin Lakes fisheries. A floating-leaved macrophyte, watershield covers about 6% (58 acres) of the summer North Twin surface area and about 2% (19 acres) in South Twin Lake. Because these plants grow in the littoral area, crucial to fish spawning and feeding, the varying coverage between the lakes may affect fish interactions, including bass piscivory.

The goal of this study was to develop information on water quality and trophic interactions within the Twin Lakes fish communities to guide fishery management decisions. In particular, data were developed to examine specific management options, including macrophyte reduction and whether bass slot-limits could enhance bass predation on shiners. Increased predation could serve as an indirect control mechanism for shiners, preventing further population growth.

Seasonal trophic interactions within the Twin Lakes fish community were examined by monthly sampling from June to September in 2004 and 2005. Length/weight measurements were made and stomach contents were taken by gastric lavage on electrofish- and gillnet- captured individuals. Fish diets were characterized by identification of stomach contents. Population estimates were calculated from markrecapture data using the Schnabel multiple-census method. Length class histograms were constructed for each species to assess population size distribution. Growth and condition factors were also calculated and compared to regional and national averages. Basic water quality data, including dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were determined on the same dates. Available trout habitat volume for each lake was calculated from this data.

There was significant variation in diets of different age fish as the season progressed. Large bass primarily consumed crawfish, golden shiners, and other fish. Diets of medium bass consisted principally of macroinvertebrates and golden shiners; while small bass diets were feeding on macroinvertebrates and a few golden shiners. The smallest bass consumed only zooplankton and macroinvertebrates. Brook and rainbow trout consumed primarily midges, scuds, and zooplankton.

High relative weight (Wr) condition factors and growth rates indicate that current Twin Lake trout populations are generally healthy. However, combined effects of eutrophication and invasive species could have a detrimental impact on Twin Lake salmonids in the near future. Our results indicate that measures to increase bass survival that would shift the population histogram to larger sizes would likely increase predation pressure on shiners. Also, reducing macrophyte densities could also increase predation on shiners by reducing available shelter and by opening lanes for ambush feeding. Implementation to achieve these goals could include fishing slot limits for take of larger bass and varying schemes for mechanical macrophyte harvesting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pag	;e
ABSTRACTii	ii
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	
Overview1	
Lake History and Site Description2	r
Purpose and Goals of Fishery Assessment	
Objectives	
2. SPECIES AUTECOLOGY	
Largemouth Bass)
Golden Shiner	,
Brook Trout	
Rainbow Trout9	1
3. METHODS 1	1
Physical and Chemical Sampling1	1
Macrophyte and Zooplankton Sampling1	1
Fish Sampling and Enumeration 1	3
4. RESULTS1	8
Physical and Chemical Data1	8
Macrophytes	3

Zooplankton	
Largemouth Bass and Golden Shiners	29
Brook and Rainbow Trout	
5. DISCUSSION	56
Largemouth Bass and Golden Shiners	56
Brook and Rainbow Trout	59
Management Considerations	61
6. CONCLUSIONS	63
REFERENCES	

LIST OF TABLES

1.	Physical Data for Twin Lakes	.3
2.	Length-Weight Regressions for Macroinvetebrates and Zooplankton	17
3.	Length-Weight Regressions for Fish Species Wr	17
4.	Salmonid Habitat Decline	18
5.	North Twin Lake Macrophyte Distribution	24
6.	South Twin Lake Macrophyte Distribution	25
7.	Mean Length of Large Zooplankton	26
8.	Population Estimates	. 30
9.	Prey Fish Frequency of Occurrence, 2004	. 42
10.	Prey Fish Frequency of Occurrence, 2005	43
11.	Salmonid Sample Distribution	55

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
1.	Bathymetric Map of Twin Lakes	4
2.	Map of Sampling Locations in Twin Lakes	12
3.	Regression Curve for Golden Shiners	14
4.	Oxygen-Temperature Profiles for North Twin Lake, 2004	19
5.	Oxygen-Temperature Profiles for North Twin Lake, 2005	20
6.	Oxygen-Temperature Profiles for South Twin Lake, 2004	21
7.	Oxygen-Temperature Profiles for South Twin Lake, 2005	22
8.	Zooplankton Density, 2004	27
9.	Zooplankton Density, 2005	.28
10.	Length Class Histograms for Largemouth Bass and Golden Shiners	31
11.	Relative Weight Wr for Largemouth Bass and Golden Shiners	32
12.	Length-at-Age Comparison for Largemouth Bass and Golden Shiners	33
13.	Diet Proportions of Largemouth Bass for North Twin Lake, 2004	37
14.	Diet Proportions of Largemouth Bass for North Twin Lake, 2005	38
15.	Diet Proportions of Largemouth Bass for South Twin Lake, 2004	39
16.	Diet Proportions of Largemouth Bass for South Twin Lake, 2005	40
17.	Diet Proportions of Golden Shiners	41
18.	Estimated Number of Shiners Consumed by Largemouth Bass, 2004	.44
19.	Estimated Number of Shiners Consumed by Largemouth Bass, 2005	.45
20.	Length Class Histograms for Brook and Rainbow Trout	47
21.	Relative Weight Wr for Brook and Rainbow Trout	.48

22.	Length-at-Age Comparison for Brook and Rainbow Trout	50
23.	Diet Proportion of Brook and Rainbow Trout for North Twin Lake, 2004	51
24.	Diet Proportion of Brook and Rainbow Trout for North Twin Lake, 2005	52
25	Diet Proportion of Brook and Rainbow Trout for South Twin Lake, 2004	53
26.	Diet Proportion of Brook and Rainbow Trout for South Twin Lake, 2005	54